**Earnings, Benefit Loss, and Job Instability:** What Do People Receiving TANF Consider When Offered a Higher-Paying Job?



Overall, most people receiving TANF (71 percent) would say yes to a higher-paying job. But benefit loss matters, too.

84%

would say yes to a higher-paying job if there were **no** loss of benefits. **78**%

would say yes to a higher-paying job even if they lost benefits, as long as the benefits could be automatically resumed if they lost their job.

71%

would say yes to a higher-paying job even if they lost benefits and had to reapply for them if they lost their job. Being able to
automatically restart
lost benefits, if needed
again later, made
people receiving TANF
more likely to accept a
higher-paying job.

People prefer to lose a lower proportion of income to benefit reductions (AKA "effective marginal tax rates")...

Raise **\$300** Benefit loss

\$100



VS.



Raise \$650

Benefit loss **\$450** 

When the **net increase** is the same (\$200), people prefer the job opportunity where they lose a lower **proportion** of their income (33% vs. 69%) to benefit reductions.

...But people **also** pay attention to-and prefer-larger net income increases.

Raise **\$300** 

Benefit loss \$100 vs

78%

Raise \$750 Benefit loss \$250

When the **proportion** of income lost due to benefit reductions is the same (33%), people prefer the job opportunity with a higher **net income increase** (\$500 vs. \$200).

## Job stability matters!

People receiving TANF are more likely to accept a higher-paying job that is stable.

## More stable

86%

would say yes to a higher-paying job with a **low risk** of job loss.

## Less stable

**69**%

would say yes to a higher-paying job with a **high risk** of job loss.

## Methods

We conducted a *discrete choice experiment* with 269 individuals currently receiving Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) to understand how they might consider an opportunity to accept a higher-paying job. Respondents considered five vignettes describing fictional people receiving TANF who were faced with a decision of whether to take a higher-paying job. For each vignette, we asked respondents to decide whether the person should or should not take the higher-paying job. We varied the vignettes in three ways:

- Benefit loss and ease of resuming benefits
- · Amount of monthly earnings increase and benefit loss
- Job instability (risk of job loss)

To learn more, refer to Spitzer, Ariella, Jesse Chandler, Bernadette Hicks, and Daniel Thal (2024). *Understanding Economic Risk for Low-Income Families: Economic Security, Program Benefits, and Decisions About Work.* ASPE and OPRE Report #2024-324, Washington, DC: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, and Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.





